11/	2:07-cv-03887-CWH Date Filed 1 06/2007		Entry Nu IS AND FRA		1-1 Pa	nge 1 of 15 PAGE 02/15
SI	TATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	umm oot	resen en s		M 707 00 4 0
C	ounty of dorchester	) , ,	THE COU	KI U	COMMO	1 PLEAS
H	ERMAN E. MARCHANT.	. {	CIVIL A	CTION	COVERSE	æet
	Plaivtiff(s	" ?	<u>07</u>	- CP - ;	18-1695	
	₩9,	.}				
BI	OUNTY OF DORCHESTER, THOMAS E UCHANAN, IY, AND FOUR NIDENTIFIED DORCHESTER COUNTY OLICE OFFICERS  Defendant(s	R ´	•			
(P	lease Print) bmitted By: M. Baron Stanton	9C 1)		970 103-929-1	484	
	Idress: 1728 Main Street P.O. Bux 245	Fax	y: S	03-929-0		
Columbia, 9C 29202			ult			í
- 60	OTE: The cover speet and information contained herein noticer to required by law. This form to required for the use of the Clerk o nad, and dated. A copy of this away these must be covered on the	of Chart for the pa defendance(a) sign	n bees of dockers	of Italy	rt be illied out et	r other papers Projectely,
	DOCKETING INFOR "If Action is Indone	ens/Section ent de	s not complete	_		
	This case is subject to ARRITRATION TEXTS and to the	NATURY TRIAL	terrative Disp	ut: Reso	lation Rules.	
	This case is subject to MEDIATION pursuant to the Cr This case is exempt from ADR (certificate strached).				OD Kanas	
	NATURE OF ACTIO	T				
₽	Construction Toris - Fro Resident Malpractics Constructions (190) — Constructions (190)	☐ Appeniostm	saug 19) alà Saugari Saugari		Real Traput; Saim & Delivery ( Sudemnation (4)	
00000	Date Collection (110)	Motor Veld	le Aceldent (320)	<u> </u>	orecionaro (420) Accherio'i Lian (4	
	General (130) Duter (277)  Brusch of Contract (140)	Products La	Milty (340)	Ö	artición (440)	<i></i>
0	Other(199)	Personal Inju	rry (3 <i>5</i> 0)		logscarion (450) Juliding Code Viel	Atim (460)
					Other (497)	
				•		
Ď	Igerate Faltions Judgments/Setfements PCR (500) Death Setfement (700)	Atioialstrative Drive Crives	re Law/Rullet r's License (200)		Appents Arbibation (900)	
	Rezonal Predictor (516) 🔲 Foreign Judgmann (710)	Judialel Review Relief (BAB)	(010)		Megistrano-Civil ( Magistrato-Crimin	•
	Mandemus (520) Magnete's Judgment (720) Habeas Corpus (730) Minor Seatement (730)	] Penanani inju		<u> </u>	Municipal (930)	
	<u> </u>	Forfetoure (840)   Other (899)	1	_	Frobete Court (940 8COOT (950)	ŋ
	□ Oue (799)				Worker's Comp (9	-
	<del></del>			==	Zoning Board (970 Administrative Lav	
_	Special/Complex /Conor Environmentel (600) Presentative (600)				Public Service Con Smalloyment Score	
000	Automobile Aris. (610)		) ·•		Doing (994)	
	-				, ,	
	Submitting Party Signature:	CON FOR	L pa	ite:	9/13/6	7
	- <del></del>					•

2:07-cv-03887-CWH Date Filed 12/03/07 Entry Number 1-1 Page 2 of 15

11/06/2007 08:85

8438756657

CHELLIS AND FRAMPTON

PAGE 03/16

Note: Frivolous civil proceedings may be subject to seactions pursuant to SCRCP, Rule 11, and the South Carolina Frivolous Civil Proceedings Sanctions Act, S.C. Code Ann. \$15-36-13 et seq.

11/06/2007 08:05 0438756657

CHELLIS AND FRAMPTON

PAGE 04/15

FOR MANDATED ADR COUNTIES ONLY

Florence, Horry, Lexington, Richland, Greenville\*\*, and Anderson\*\* \*\* Contact Respective County Clerk of Court for modified ADR Program Rules

SUPREME COURT RULES REQUIRE THE SUBMISSION OF ALL CIVIL CASES TO AN ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS, UNLESS OTHERWISE EXEMPT.

# You are required to take the following action(s):

- 1. The parties shall select a neutral within 210 days of filing of this action, and the Plaintiff shall file a "Supulation of Neutral Selection" on or before the 224" day after the filing of the action. If the perties cannot agree upon the selection of the neutral within 210 days, the Plaintiff shall notify the Court by filing a written "Request for the Appointment of a Neutral" on or before the 224" day after the filing of this action. The Court shall then appoint a neutral from the Court-approved mediator/arbivator list.
- 2. The initial ADR conference must be held within 300 days after the filing of the action,
- 3. Case are execupt from ADR only upon the following grounds:
  - a. Special proceeding, or actions secking extraordinary relief such as mandamus, habeas corpus, or prohibition;
  - b. Cases which are appellate in nature such as appeals or writs of certiorari;
  - c. Post Conviction relief matters;
  - d. Contempt of Court proceedings;
  - c. Forfeiture proceedings brought by the State;
  - Cases involving mortgage foreoloscres; and
  - g. Cases that have been submitted to mediation with a certified mediator paior to the filing of this action.
- 4. Motion of a party to be exempt from payment of neutral free due to indigency should be filed with the Court within ten (10) days after the ADR conference had been concluded.

You must comply with the Supreme Court Rules regarding ADR. Please Note: Failure to do so may affect your case or may result in annetions.

2:07-cv-03887-CWH Date Filed 12/03/07 Entry Number 1-1 Page 4 of 15 11/05/2007 09:05 8438756657 CHELLIS AND FRAMPTON PAGE 05/15

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ) COUNTY OF DORCHESTER )	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS .CIVIL ACTION NO.: 07-CP-18-1695
HERMAN 5. MARCHANT,	
PLAINTIFF,	
v. )	SUMMONS
COUNTY OF DORCHESTER, THOMAS E. BUCHANAN, IV (IN HIS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY), AND FOUR UNIDENTIFIED DORCHESTER COUNTY POLICE OFFICERS (IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL CAPACITIES),	
defendants.	) )

TO: DEFENDANTS DORCHESTER COUNTY, THOMAS E. BUCHANAN, IV, AND FOUR UNIDENTIFIED DORCHESTER COUNTY POLICE OFFICERS:

YOU ARE SUMMONED AND REQUIRED to answer the Complaint herein, a copy of which is served upon you, and to serve a copy of your Answer upon the undersigned attorney for the plaintiff at 1728 Main Street, P. O. Box 245, Columbia, SC 29202, within thirty (30) days effer service hereof, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the Complaint within the time aforesaid, judgment by default will be rendered against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

STANTON LAW OFFICES, P.A.

1728 Main Street

P. O. Box 245

Columbia, South Carolina 29202 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF DORCHESTER

PLAINTIFF,

V.

COMPLAINT

COUNTY OF DORCHESTER,
THOMAS E. BUCHANAN, IV (IN HIS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY),
AND FOUR UNIDENTIFIED

DORCHESTER COUNTY POLICE
OFFICERS (IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL
CAPACITIES),

DEFENDANTS.

Plaintiff, complaining of Defendants, alleges the following and requests the following

relief:

FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

As Against Defendants County of Dorchester, Thomas E. Buchanan, IV, and
Four Unidentified Dorchester County Police Officers
(Excessive Force Under Common Law, as Allowed by the Tort Claims Act,
and under 42 U.S.C. §1983, Interspective of the Tort Claims Act)

- 1. Plaintiff Herman B. Marchant is a resident of Dorchester County, South Carolina.
- Defendent Dorchester County is a county located to the State of South Carolina.
- Defendent Thomas E. Buchanan, IV is a resident of Dorchester County.
- 4. Defendant Thomas E. Buchanan, IV is employed by the Dorchester County

Sheriff's Office.

- Defendants, four unidentified Dorchester County Police Officers, are believed to 5. be residents of Dorohester County.
- Defendants four unidentified Dorchester County Police Officers are or were 6. formerly employed by the Dorchester County Sheriff's Office.
- On the morning of September 29, 2005, Plaintiff was working on the side of a private residence located at 874 West Main Street in Harleyville, South Carolina.
- Plaintiff is a relatively quiet, small man, advanced in ago, with grey hair, and pre-8. existing nerve problems in his neck and back. Plaintiff had recently undergone a hernia operation and a separate gall bladder operation, and was just getting back into some small gainful activity.
- Plaintiff's son was the contractor on the job, and was working at the rear of the 9. residence on this particular morning.
- Plaintiff was on the edge of the roof and had a ladder against the residence when IO. two persons not residing there arrived at the home and asked Plaintiff to come down to the provod
- Plaintiff refused, stating that he was not the contractor on the project, had a job to do, and had no business with them.
- Upon information and belief, one of the persons called the Dorchester County Sheriff's Department
- Defendant, Officer Thomas B. Buchanan, IV, came up the driveway of the residence to where Plaintiff was working.

- Defendant Buchanan yelled at Plaintiff and commanded him to come down off the toof.
- Plaintiff and Defendant Buchanan had some dialogue while Plaintiff was still on 15. the roof and Plaintiff declined to come down immediately and attempted to finish up a part of what he was in the middle of working on.
- Defendant Buchanan may or may not contend that at some point, Plaintiff made a 1.6 profauc or offensive statement. While irrelevant to this action, Plaintiff did not make any profine or offensive statement to Buchansa from the roof.
- Defendant Buchanan called for more officers, and at least four more officers 17. arrived at the residence.
- Upon the arrival of the additional officers, Plaintiff came off of the roof and down the ladder and talked to them.
- Under repetitions questioning by the officers, Plaintiff answered that the 19. discrepancy between what Buchanan alleged about Plaintiff throwing things from the roof and what Plaintiff actually said and did was explained by Buchanan being a "d\_\_\_ liar."
- At this point, one of the officers gave the word, and Plaintiff was forcibly 20. handcuffed, behind his back, roughed up, lifted off the ground by his same, dragged or pushed forcibly by his bound arms, and aboved into a hot police car out by the street by Defordant Buchanan and the four other defendant officers.
- After inquiring as to whether he was being accested, Plaintiff was informed by one 21. of the defendant officers that he was being detained for his own safety.

- 22. Plaintiff was locked inside a hot police car until the defendant officers decided to let him out.
  - 23. Plaintiff was issued a citation for public disorderly conduct.
- 24. There was no basis for a charge of public disorderly conduct, and the saizure, rough handling, restraint and detention of Plaintiff were without probable cause.
- As a result of the actions of the defendants, Plaintiff received injury to his wrists.

  sums, shoulders, and nack, incurred present and future medical expenses, and experienced pain.

  suffering, extreme aggravation, and loss of enjoyment of life, which will continue.
- 26. Defendants Thomas E. Buchanan, IV and the four unidentified Dorchester County

  Police officers were noting within the course and scope of their employment.
- 27. Reasonable officers in the positions of Defendants Thomas E. Buchanan, IV and the four unidentified Dorchester County Police Officers would not have used force to restrain Plaintiff under these circumstances.
- 28. The alleged crime of public disorderly conduct was not only baseless in law and fact, but even if it had been committed, was not severe enough to warrant a use of force.
- 29. Plaintiff is approximately five feet five inches tall, 135 pounds, and 65-70 years of age.
- 30. Plaintiff had no weapon at the time of his confrontation by Defendants Thomas R. Buchanan, IV and four unidentified Dorchester County Police Officers.
  - 31. Plaintiff was not an immediate threat to the safety of the officers or others.

- Plaintiff was neither actively resisting excest nor arcompting to fice at the time he 32. was forcibly handcuffed behind his back, roughed up, lifted off the ground by his bound arms. dragged or pushed by his bound arms, and shoved into the hot police car.
- Defendants Thomas E. Buchanan, IV and four unidentified Derchester County Police Officers violated Plaintiff's protection under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution from unreasonable scizure.
- Defendants Thomas E. Buchman, IV and four unidentified Dorchester County 34. Police Officers used force in order to obstruct Plaintiff's exercise of his right under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution to free speech and injured Plaintiff because of his exercise of same.
- Defendant Dorchester County and Defendants Thomas E. Buchanau, IV and four 35. unidentified Dorchester County Police Officers are liable for the excessive force used by Defendants Thomas B. Buchanan, IV and four unidentified Dorchester County Police Officers.

## FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION As Against Defendants County of Dorchester, Thomas E. Buchanan, IV, and Four Unidentified Dorchester County Police Officers (Assault and Battery Under Common Law, as Allowed by the Tort Claims Act, and under 42 U.S.C. §1983, Irrespective of the Tort Claims Act)

- The allegations of the foregoing paragraphs are repeated and incorporated herein. 36.
- Defendants Thomas E. Buchanan, IV and four unidentified Dorchester County 37. Police Officers placed Plaintiff in fear of bodily harm.
- Defendants Thomas E. Buchanan, IV and four unidentified Dorchester County 38. Police Officers subjected Plaintiff to bedily harm.

- 39. Defendants Thomas E. Buchanan, IV and four unidentified Dorchester County
  Police Officers placed Plaintiff in fear of bodily harm and subjected Plaintiff to bodily harm in
  order to obstruct Plaintiff's exercise of his right under the First Amendment of the United States
  Constitution to free speech.
- 40. Defendant Dorchester County and four unidentified Dorchester County Police

  Officers are liable for the assault and battery committed by Defendants Thomas E. Buchanan, IV

  and four unidentified Dorchester County Police Officers.

# FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION As Against Defendants County of Dorchester, Thomas E. Buchman, IV, and Four Unidentified Dorchester County Police Officers (False Arrest and Imprisonment Under Common Law, as Allowed by the Tort Claims Act, and under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Irrespective of the Tort Claims Act)

- 41. The allegations of the foregoing paragraphs are repeated and incorporated herein.
- 42 Defendants Thomas E. Buchanan, TV and four unidentified Dorchester County
  Police Officers restrained Plaintiff.
- 43. Defendants Thomas E. Buchanan, IV and four unidentified Dorchester County
  Police Officers intentionally restrained Plaintiff.
- 44. Defendents Thomas E. Buchenan, IV and four unidentified Dorchester County
  Police Officers unlawfully restrained Pisintiff.
- 45. Defendants Thomas E. Buchanan, IV and four unidentified Dorchester County
  Police Officers did not have probable cause to arrest Plaintiff.
- 46. Defendents Thomas E. Buchanan, IV and four unidentified Dorchester County

  Police Officers restrained Plaintiff in an unlawful manner in order to obstruct Plaintiff's exercise

of his right under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution to free speech and injured Plaintiff because of his exercise of same.

Defendant Derchester County and four unidentified Dorchester County Police 47. Officers are liable for the faise arrest and imprisonment committed by Defondants Thomas E. Buchanan, IV and four unidentified Derchester County Police Officers.

## FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST DEFENDANT COUNTY OF DORCHESTER (Violation of S.C. Code Ann. § 16-5-60)

- The allegations of the foregoing paragraphs are repeated and incorporated herein. 48.
- Defendants Thomas E. Buchman, IV and four unidentified Dorchester County 49. Police Officers restrained Plaintiff in order to obstruct Plaintiff's exercise of his right under the First Amondment of the United States Constitution to free speech in Dorchester County and injured Plaintiff because of his exercise of same.
- Defendants Thomas E. Buchanan, IV and four unidentified Dorchester County 50. Police Officers used excessive force in Dorchester County to restrain Plaintiff in violation of Plaintiff's right under the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States to freedom from unreasonable seizures.
- Defendant Dorchester County is liable for the obstruction of Plaintiff from 51. exercising his right to free speech under the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and for injuries to his person caused by excessive force that violated Plaintiff's right to freedom from unreasonable seizures under the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, pursuant to S.C. Code, Ann. § 16-5-60.

2:07-cv-03887-CWH Date Filed 12/03/07 11/85/2887 8938756657 CHEL Page 12 of 15 PAGE 13/16

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- For judgment, jointly and severally, against the Defendants in an amount yet to be determined for medical charges, pain, suffering, and loss of enjoyment of life as a result of the actions of Defondants.
- For all costs and disbursements of this action, including reasonable attorney's feet; Ъ. and
  - For such other and further relief as is just and proper. C.

STANTON LAW OFFICES, P.A.

1728 Main Street

P. O. Box 245

Columbia, South Carolina 29202 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

2:07-cv-03887-CWH Date Filed 12/03/07 Entry Number 1-1 Page 13 of 15

11/06/2007 08:05 8438756657

CHELLIS AND FRAMPTON

PAGE 14/15

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF DORCHESTER

HERMAN E. MARCHANT,

PLAINTIFF.

COUNTY OF DORCHESTER, THOMAS E BUCHANAN, IV (IN HIS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY), AND FOUR UNIDENTIFIED DORCHESTER COUNTY POLICE OFFICERS (IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL CAPACITIES),

DEFENDANTS.

in the court of common pleas CIVIL ACTION NO.: 07-CP-18-1695

VERIFICATION

L Herman E. Marchant, being duly sworn, say that I am the Plaintiff herein, and have read the foregoing Complaint and know the contents theroof, and that the same is true of my own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief. and to those matters I believe them to be true.

Signature of Plaintiff

SWORN to and Subscribed before me

Notary Public for South Carolina

My Commission expires: 06

Strange Law Offices, P.A., 1728 Main Street, P.O. Box 245. Columbia. SC 29202, 203-529-1484

2:07-cv-03887-CWH Date Filed 12/03/07 Entry Number 1-1 Page 14 of 15
11/86/2007 00:05 8430756657 CHELLIS AND FRAMPTON PAGE 15/16

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF DORCHBSTER
CIVIL, ACTION NO.: 07-CP-18-1695

HERMAN E. MARCHANT,

PLAINTIFF,

V.

COUNTY OF DORCHESTER,
THOMAS E. BUCHANAN, IV (IN HIS
INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY),
AND FOUR UNIDENTIFIED
DORCHESTER COUNTY POLICE
OFFICERS (IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL
CAPACITIES),

DEFENDANTS.

### Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Defondants

Pursuant to Rule 33 of the South Carolina Roles of Civil Procedure, the undersigned attorney for the Plaintiff, Herman E. Marchant, hereby requests that you respond in writing, under oath, within thirty (30) days, to the following interrogatories:

- 1. Give the names and addresses of persons known to the parties or counsel to be witnesses concerning the facts of the case and indicate whether or not written or recorded statements have been taken from the witnesses and indicate who has possession of such statements.
- 2. Set forth a list of photographs, plats, sketches, diagrams, video tape recordings, audio tape recordings, correspondence, notes, or any other documents in possession of the party that relate to the claim or defense in the case.
- 3. Set forth the names and addresses of all insurance companies or other entities which have liability insurance coverage relating to the claim and set forth the number or numbers of policies

involved and the amount or amounts of liability coverage provided in each policy.

- 4. List the names and addresses of any expert witnesses whom the party proposes to use 25 2 witness at the trial of the case.
- 5. For each person known to the parties or counsel to be a witness concerning the facts of the case, set forth either a summary sufficient to inform the other party of the important facts known to or observed by such witness, or provide a copy of any written or recorded statements taken from such witnesses.
- 6. Set forth the names, addresses, telephone numbers, place of employment of each of the Dorohester County sheriff's deputies who were present where and when this claim arose.

Dated: 9/26 ,2007

M. Baron Stanton

STANTON LAW OFFICES, P.A.

1728 Main Street

P.O. Box 245

Columbia, South Carolina 29202

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF